# United States District Court

for the

Eastern District of California

United States of America	)	
V.	) Case No. 2:20-MJ-00154-DB-1	
ALBERTO SALGADO		
Defendant	)	
ORDER OF DETENTION PENDING TRIAL		
Part I - Eligibility for Detention		
Upon the		
	n motion pursuant to 18 U.S.C. § 3142(f)(2),	
and conclusions of law, as required by 18 U.S.C. § 3142(i)	n is warranted. This order sets forth the Court's findings of fact, in addition to any other findings made at the hearing.	
Part II - Findings of Fact and La	w as to Presumptions under § 3142(e)	
——————————————————————————————————————	C. § 3142(e)(2) (previous violator): There is a rebuttable additions will reasonably assure the safety of any other person is have been met:	
(1) the defendant is charged with one of the f	following crimes described in 18 U.S.C. § 3142(f)(1):	
	B U.S.C. § 1591, or an offense listed in 18 U.S.C.	
	term of imprisonment of 10 years or more is prescribed; or	
	sentence is life imprisonment or death; <b>or</b>	
Controlled Substances Act (21 U.S.C. §	m of imprisonment of 10 years or more is prescribed in the § 801-904), the Controlled Substances Import and Export Act of Title 46, U.S.C. (46 U.S.C. §§ 70501-70508); <b>or</b>	
(d) any felony if such person has been c	convicted of two or more offenses described in subparagraphs	
	or more State or local offenses that would have been offenses (c) of this paragraph if a circumstance giving rise to Federal on of such offenses; <b>or</b>	
(e) any felony that is not otherwise a cri	me of violence but involves:	
	a firearm or destructive device (as defined in 18 U.S.C. § 921); a failure to register under 18 U.S.C. § 2250; <i>and</i>	
(2) the defendant has previously been convic	ted of a Federal offense that is described in 18 U.S.C.	

§ 3142(f)(1), or of a State or local offense that would have been such an offense if a circumstance giving rise

(3) the offense described in paragraph (2) above for which the defendant has been convicted was committed while the defendant was on release pending trial for a Federal, State, or local offense; *and* (4) a period of not more than five years has elapsed since the date of conviction, or the release of the defendant from imprisonment, for the offense described in paragraph (2) above, whichever is later.

to Federal jurisdiction had existed; and

# 

AO 472 (Rev. 11/16) Order of Detention Pending Trial

rebuttable presumption defendant as required as	<b>aption Arises Under 18 U.S.C. § 3142(e)(3)</b> (narcotics, firearm, other offenses): There is a that no condition or combination of conditions will reasonably assure the appearance of the nd the safety of the community because there is probable cause to believe that the defendant of the following offenses:
Controlled Subs U.S.C. §§ 951-9	or which a maximum term of imprisonment of 10 years or more is prescribed in the tances Act (21 U.S.C. §§ 801-904), the Controlled Substances Import and Export Act (21 71), or Chapter 705 of Title 46, U.S.C. (46 U.S.C. §§ 70501-70508);
— ` ´	nder 18 U.S.C. §§ 924(c), 956(a), or 2332b; sted in 18 U.S.C. § 2332b(g)(5)(B) for which a maximum term of imprisonment of 10 years ribed:
(4) an offense un	nder Chapter 77 of Title 18, U.S.C. (18 U.S.C. §§ 1581-1597) for which a maximum term of 20 years or more is prescribed; <b>or</b>
2251, 2251A, 22	volving a minor victim under 18 U.S.C. §§ 1201, 1591, 2241, 2242, 2244(a)(1), 2245, 252(a)(1), 2252(a)(2), 2252(a)(3), 2252A(a)(1), 2252A(a)(2), 2252A(a)(3), 2252A(a)(4), 2, 2423, or 2425.
x C. Conclusions Regar	ding Applicability of Any Presumption Established Above
	as not introduced sufficient evidence to rebut the presumption above, and detention is pasis. (Part III need not be completed.)
OR	
<u> </u>	as presented evidence sufficient to rebut the presumption, but after considering the I the other factors discussed below, detention is warranted.
I	the other factors discussed below, actendion is warranted.
• •	Part III - Analysis and Statement of the Reasons for Detention
P After considering the fa	
After considering the fathe Court concludes that the court convincing	Part III - Analysis and Statement of the Reasons for Detention  ctors set forth in 18 U.S.C. § 3142(g) and the information presented at the detention hearing
After considering the fathe Court concludes that the comparison of the safety of any other parts.	ctors set forth in 18 U.S.C. § 3142(g) and the information presented at the detention hearing defendant must be detained pending trial because the Government has proven:  g evidence that no condition or combination of conditions of release will reasonably assure person and the community.
After considering the fathe Court concludes that the comparison of the safety of any other particles appears the defendant's appears	ctors set forth in 18 U.S.C. § 3142(g) and the information presented at the detention hearing defendant must be detained pending trial because the Government has proven:  g evidence that no condition or combination of conditions of release will reasonably assure person and the community.
After considering the fathe Court concludes that the comparison of the safety of any other particles appears and convincing the safety of any other particles appears and convincing the safety of any other particles appears and convincing the safety of any other particles appears and convincing the safety of any other particles are convenient to the convenient of the convenient to the con	Part III - Analysis and Statement of the Reasons for Detention  ctors set forth in 18 U.S.C. § 3142(g) and the information presented at the detention hearing defendant must be detained pending trial because the Government has proven:  g evidence that no condition or combination of conditions of release will reasonably assure person and the community.  evidence that no condition or combination of conditions of release will reasonably assure note as required.
After considering the fathe Court concludes that the comparison of the safety of any other particles appears and convincing the safety of any other particles appears and convincing the safety of any other particles appears and convincing the safety of any other particles appears and convincing the safety of any other particles are conveniently appears.  Weight of evidence are considering the father considering the convincing the safety of any other particles are considered as a convincing the safety of any other particles are considered as a convincing the safety of any other particles are convincing the safety of any other particles are convincing the safety of any other particles are convincing to the safety of any other particles are	ctors set forth in 18 U.S.C. § 3142(g) and the information presented at the detention hearing defendant must be detained pending trial because the Government has proven:  g evidence that no condition or combination of conditions of release will reasonably assure person and the community.  evidence that no condition or combination of conditions of release will reasonably assure nece as required.  addedon the record at the hearing, the reasons for detention include the following:
After considering the fathe Court concludes that the comparison of the safety of any other particles appears and convincing the safety of any other particles appears and convincing the safety of any other particles appears and convincing the safety of any other particles appears and convincing the safety of any other particles are conveniently appears.  Weight of evidence are considering the father considering the convincing the safety of any other particles are considered as a convincing the safety of any other particles are considered as a convincing the safety of any other particles are convincing the safety of any other particles are convincing the safety of any other particles are convincing to the safety of any other particles are	ctors set forth in 18 U.S.C. § 3142(g) and the information presented at the detention hearing defendant must be detained pending trial because the Government has proven:  g evidence that no condition or combination of conditions of release will reasonably assure person and the community.  evidence that no condition or combination of conditions of release will reasonably assure nnce as required.  add on the record at the hearing, the reasons for detention include the following:  against the defendant is strong period of incarceration if convicted
After considering the fathe Court concludes that the comparison of the safety of any other particles appears and convincing the safety of any other particles appears and convincing the safety of any other particles appears and the defendant's appears.  Weight of evidence Subject to lengthy particles appears to lengthy particles appears and the convergence of the defendant's appears.	ctors set forth in 18 U.S.C. § 3142(g) and the information presented at the detention hearing defendant must be detained pending trial because the Government has proven:  g evidence that no condition or combination of conditions of release will reasonably assure person and the community.  evidence that no condition or combination of conditions of release will reasonably assure nnce as required.  add on the record at the hearing, the reasons for detention include the following:  against the defendant is strong period of incarceration if convicted
After considering the fathe Court concludes that the court concludes the concludes the concludes and convincing the safety of any other participation in criminal history of violence.	ctors set forth in 18 U.S.C. § 3142(g) and the information presented at the detention hearing defendant must be detained pending trial because the Government has proven:  g evidence that no condition or combination of conditions of release will reasonably assure person and the community.  evidence that no condition or combination of conditions of release will reasonably assure nnce as required.  add on the record at the hearing, the reasons for detention include the following:  against the defendant is strong period of incarceration if convicted ry minal activity while on probation, parole, or supervision or use of weapons
After considering the fathe Court concludes that the compact and convincing the safety of any other participation in crimes.  After considering the father concludes that the compact and convincing the safety of any other participation to any findings makes and the defendant's appearance of the defendant o	ctors set forth in 18 U.S.C. § 3142(g) and the information presented at the detention hearing defendant must be detained pending trial because the Government has proven:  g evidence that no condition or combination of conditions of release will reasonably assure person and the community.  evidence that no condition or combination of conditions of release will reasonably assure nnce as required.  adde on the record at the hearing, the reasons for detention include the following:  against the defendant is strong period of incarceration if convicted ry minal activity while on probation, parole, or supervision or use of weapons or substance abuse
After considering the fathe Court concludes that the comparison of the safety of any other participation in criminal history of alcohol of Lack of stable employers.	ctors set forth in 18 U.S.C. § 3142(g) and the information presented at the detention hearing defendant must be detained pending trial because the Government has proven:  g evidence that no condition or combination of conditions of release will reasonably assure person and the community.  evidence that no condition or combination of conditions of release will reasonably assure nnce as required.  adde on the record at the hearing, the reasons for detention include the following:  against the defendant is strong period of incarceration if convicted ry minal activity while on probation, parole, or supervision or use of weapons or substance abuse downer.
After considering the fathe Court concludes that the compact and convincing the safety of any other process. By a preponderance of the defendant's appearance of the defendant of the	cart III - Analysis and Statement of the Reasons for Detention  ctors set forth in 18 U.S.C. § 3142(g) and the information presented at the detention hearing defendant must be detained pending trial because the Government has proven:  g evidence that no condition or combination of conditions of release will reasonably assure person and the community.  evidence that no condition or combination of conditions of release will reasonably assure nnce as required.  add on the record at the hearing, the reasons for detention include the following:  against the defendant is strong period of incarceration if convicted ry minal activity while on probation, parole, or supervision or use of weapons or substance abuse downent ence
After considering the fathe Court concludes that the compact and convincing the safety of any other pure and prepared in addition to any findings may be a prepa	ctors set forth in 18 U.S.C. § 3142(g) and the information presented at the detention hearing defendant must be detained pending trial because the Government has proven:  g evidence that no condition or combination of conditions of release will reasonably assure person and the community.  evidence that no condition or combination of conditions of release will reasonably assure nnce as required.  adde on the record at the hearing, the reasons for detention include the following:  against the defendant is strong period of incarceration if convicted ry minal activity while on probation, parole, or supervision or use of weapons or substance abuse downer.

### Case 2:20-mj-00154-DB Document 11 Filed 10/09/20 Page 3 of 3

AO 472 (Rev. 11/16) Order of Detention Pending Trial

	Significant family or other ties outside the United States
	Lack of legal status in the United States
Subject to removal or deportation after serving any period of incarceration	
	Prior failure to appear in court as ordered
	Prior attempt(s) to evade law enforcement
	Use of alias(es) or false documents
	Background information unknown or unverified
	Prior violations of probation, parole, or supervised release

#### OTHER REASONS OR FURTHER EXPLANATION:

### **Part IV - Directions Regarding Detention**

The defendant is remanded to the custody of the Attorney General or to the Attorney General's designated representative for confinement in a corrections facility separate, to the extent practicable, from persons awaiting or serving sentences or being held in custody pending appeal. The defendant must be afforded a reasonable opportunity for private consultation with defense counsel. On order of a court of the United States or on request of an attorney for the Government, the person in charge of the corrections facility must deliver the defendant to a United States Marshal for the purpose of an appearance in connection with a court proceeding.

Dated: October 9, 2020

UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE